



## DICOM STANDARDIZATION OF WSI DATA

## DAVID A. CLUNIE PIXELMED PUBLISHING, LLC

## Disclosures



- Editor of the DICOM Standard (NEMA contract)
- Owner of PixelMed Publishing, LLC
- Consulting for Algotec (Philips), Bioclinica, BKMedical, Medigate, Mayo
- Subcontractor to BWH on NCI Imaging Data Commons (IDC) project
- Subcontractor to Leeds (UK NHS) on Northern Pathology Imaging Co-operative (NPIC) project

# Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine

## Overview

- What is DICOM?
- Why DICOM? Interoperability
- DICOM for WSI in detail
- Regulatory implications of interoperability
- Which aspects of DICOM can assuage regulatory concerns?
- Use cases for mathematically identical pixels in DICOM vs. proprietary
- DICOM solutions for proprietary compression sources
- Color management
- Computational Pathology (AI/ML) and DICOM
- Regulatory issues of AI/ML annotations for truthing



## What is **DICOM**

- A protocol for exchanging images and image-related information
- Between different implementations (vendors) of image producers and consumers
- I.e., interoperability of images
- Sending ("storing") images ("instances")
- Finding them ("query/retrieve")
- Various other services (e.g., workflow management)
- Protocols, messages and operations
- An information model common stuff (Patient/Study/Series/Image), Specimen
- A data dictionary ("elements", "attributes")
- Information object definition ("IOD") modality/specialty specific
- Objects pairs with services ("Service Object Pair" (SOP) Classes)
- File format record a DICOM message in a file (on media)



## "the ability of two or more systems or components to <u>exchange</u> information and to <u>use</u> the information that has been exchanged"

IEEE Standard Computer Dictionary: A Compilation of IEEE Standard Computer Glossaries. 1990







## Why Interoperability?

- A single vendor is rarely expert in everything (acquisition, processing, storage, viewing, analysis)
- A single vendor can rarely devote resources to everything
- E.g., one vendor makes great scanner (fast, few errors, easy to load, sharp images)
- Another vendor makes great viewer (efficient navigation, better tools)
- Yet another makes a dedicated analysis tool (whether H&E or IHC or whatever)
- Another vendor makes a dedicated cytology, hematology or microbiology scanner
- Users want "best of breed": "mix and match" "plug and play interoperability"
- Users with multiple scanners want to see everything in the one viewer they routinely use (and are trained and validated on)
- Lesson from radiology: seamless mixture of all sorts of different brands, models, versions of different types of scanner, independent of archive, mixture of different general purpose ("universal") and specialty-specific viewing, analysis and planning tools

# Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine

## Why DICOM?

- Enormous experience in radiology and cardiology
- 35 years since ACR-NEMA PS3 Standard (1985), which became DICOM
- A consensus of user and industry representatives. later adopted by ISO as ISO 12052
- 80 million CT studies per year in US (CBS News, 2015) all DICOM
- Huge supporting infra-structure for both DICOM file format, protocol and services
- All manner of products essentially commoditized: scanners, archives, workstations, viewers, PACS, toolkits for products, testing, analysis, research
- Both commercial and free, closed and open source tools
- Conformance and interoperability testing venues (e.g., IHE Connectathons)
- Modality agnostic e.g., XR, MR, NM also Visible Light, esp. Ophthalmology, Endoscopy
- Application agnostic human, veterinary, small animal research, non-destructive testing (esp. aerospace and nuclear power), security (esp. baggage scanning)
- Emphasis on reliable, consistent, standard metadata (common data elements, value sets)



## **DICOM** and Radiology Modality





## **DICOM** and Radiology Modality





## **DICOM** and Slide Scanner





## **DICOM** and Slide Scanner



Slide Scanner

PACS



## **DICOM Modality to PACS**

#### Standard Boundary





## **DICOM WSI to PACS**

#### Standard Boundary





## DICOM – Radiology Workstation





## DICOM – Pathology Workstation





## DICOM – Analysis Systems





## DICOM – Enterprise Imaging





## **DICOM** – Deconstructed PACS





## FDA "entire pixel pathway"



## Single Vendor Black Box Philips, Leica Aperio AT2 510(k)s







## Leica Aperio AT2 to Sectra 510(k)





## Missing standard protocol/format

#### Standard Boundary





## DICOMWSI – What and How

- File format for:
  - whole slide images (tiled pyramid)
  - single fields slide microscopy
  - gross microscopy
- File contains:
  - compressed pixels (JPEG or JPEG 2000)
  - metadata identifying AND descriptive
- Protocol for sending and receiving, etc.
- Other stuff like workflow, annotation, segmentation, structured reports, ...



## **DICOM System and Metadata**





## **DICOM File – Metadata included**



## Metadata – Standard Information Model

Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine





## **DICOM Modality-Specific Metadata**

- Common base, but different (mandatory/optional) features for different applications
- For example,
  - MR Image
    - single frame, 12-16 bit grayscale image
    - MR acquisition pulse sequence parameters
    - 3D patient relative co-ordinate/vector position
  - X-Ray Angiography Image
    - multi-frame, 8-10 bit grayscale image
    - XA acquisition radiation/collimation/motion
    - dynamic C-arm/table relative positioning
  - Whole Slide Microscopy Image
    - tiled multi-frame, 8-16 bit per channel true color or grayscale image
    - specimen and container identification
    - specimen processing description collection, fixation, embedding, staining



#### **DICOM Common Metadata Attributes**

(0x0008,0x0005) CS Specific Character Set VR=<CS> VL=<0x000a> <ISO IR 192> (0x0008,0x0008) CS Image Type VR=<CS> VL=<0x001c> <ORIGINAL\PRIMARY\LABEL\NONE > (0x0008,0x0016) UI SOP Class UID  $VR = \langle UI \rangle$ VL=<0x001e> <1.2.840.10008.5.1.4.1.1.77.1.6> (0x0008,0x0018) UI SOP Instance UID VR=<UI> VL=<0x002c> <2.25.303027567746224774473319543698839323449> (0x0008,0x0020) DA Study Date VR=<DA> VL=<0x0008> <20190105> (0x0008,0x0023) DA Content Date VR=<DA> VL=<0x0008> <20190605> (0x0008,0x002a) DT Acquisition DateTime VR=<DT> VL=<0x0014> <20190403134345+0200 > (0x0008.0x0030) TM Study Time VR=<TM> VL=<0x000e> <170000.000000 > (0x0008,0x0033) TM Content Time VL=<0x000e> <153114.151937 > VR=<TM> (0x0008,0x0050) SH Accession Number VR=<SH> VL=<0x0008> <D19-1002> (0x0008,0x0060) CS Modality VL=<0x0002> <SM> VR=<CS> (0x0008,0x0070) LO Manufacturer VR = <LO >VL=<0x000e> <3DHISTECH Kft.> (0x0008.0x0090) PN Referring Physician's Name VR=<PN> VL=<0x0014> <Beckwith^Bruce^^^MD > (0x0008,0x0201) SH Timezone Offset From UTC  $VR = \langle SH \rangle$ VL=<0x0006> <+0200 > (0x0008,0x1030) LO Study Description VL=<0x0008> <Placenta> VR=<L0> (0x0008.0x1090) LO Manufacturer's Model Name VL=<0x0002> <? > VR = <LO >VL=<0x0006> <VOLUME> (0x0008,0x9206) CS Volumetric Properties VR=<CS> (0x0010,0x0010) PN Patient's Name VR=<PN> VL=<0x0010> <Histech^Theresa > (0x0010,0x0020) LO Patient ID VR = <LO >VL=<0x0008> <1473843 > (0x0010,0x0021) LO Issuer of Patient ID VR=<LO> VL=<0x0012> <XYZ Medical Center> (0x0010,0x0030) DA Patient's Birth Date VR=<DA> VL=<0x0008> <19920915> (0x0010,0x0040) CS Patient's Sex VR=<CS> VL=<0x0002> <F > (0x0018,0x1000) LO Device Serial Number VR=<L0> VL=<0x0002> <? > (0x0018,0x1020) LO Software Versions VR=<LO> VL=<0x0034> <2.0.0.98298\2.3.0.32188\DicomObjects.NET v8.40.110.2> (0x0018,0x9073) FD Acquisition Duration VR=<FD> VL=<0x0008> {62} (0x0020,0x000d) UI Study Instance UID VL=<0x002c> <2.25.314895697286408613145161297089258454972> VR=<UI> VL=<0x002a> <2.25.4499748423492793206448754820247952244> (0x0020,0x000e) UI Series Instance UID VR=<UI> (0x0020,0x0010) SH Study ID VR=<SH> VL=<0x0006> <Case T> (0x0020,0x0011) IS Series Number VL=<0x0000> <> VR=<IS> (0x0020,0x0013) IS Instance Number VR=<IS> VL=<0x0002> <2 > (0x0020,0x0020) CS Patient Orientation VR=<CS> VL=<0x0000> <> (0x0020,0x0052) UI Frame of Reference UID VR=<UI> VL=<0x002c> <2.25.312720432849011477406910130848517432703>



#### **DICOM Specimen Metadata Attributes**

(0x004)	0,0x0560) SQ Sp	ecimen Description Sequence VR= <sq> VL=&lt;0xffffffff5&gt;</sq>	
>	: (0x0040,0x0551) (0x0040,0x0551)	LO Specimen Identifier VR= <lo> VL=&lt;0x000e&gt; <d19-1002 a-1-<="" td=""><td>1&gt;</td></d19-1002></lo>	1>
>	(0x0040,0x0554) (0x0040,0x0562)	SQ Issuer of the Specimen Identifier Sequence VR= <sq> VL=</sq>	<0xffffffff>
>	: (0x0040,0x0031)	UT Local Namespace Entity ID VR= <ut> VL=&lt;0x0012&gt; <xyz m<="" td=""><td>edical Center&gt;</td></xyz></ut>	edical Center>
>	(0x0040,0x0610)	SQ Specimen Preparation Sequence VR= <sq> VL=&lt;0xffffffff&gt;</sq>	
>	(0x0040,0x0612)	SQ Specimen Preparation Step Content Item Sequence VR= <s0< td=""><td>Q&gt; VL=&lt;0xffffffff</td></s0<>	Q> VL=<0xffffffff
> >	(0x0040,0xa040) (0x0040,0xa043)	CS Value Type VR= <cs> VL=&lt;0x0004&gt; <text> SQ Concept Name Code Sequence VR=<sq> VL=&lt;0xffffffff5&gt;</sq></text></cs>	
>	(0x0008,0x0100) (0x0008,0x0102) (0x0008,0x0104)	SH Code Value VR= <sh> VL=&lt;0x0006&gt; &lt;121041&gt; SH Coding Scheme Designator VR=<sh> VL=&lt;0x0004&gt; <dcm></dcm></sh></sh>	
>	(0x0040,0xa160)	UT Text Value VR= <ut> VL=&lt;0x000c&gt; <d19-1002 a-1=""></d19-1002></ut>	
> >	: (0x0040,0xa040) (0x0040,0xa043)	CS Value Type VR= <cs> VL=&lt;0x0004&gt; <code> SQ Concept Name Code Sequence VR=<sq> VL=&lt;0xffffffff5&gt;</sq></code></cs>	
> > > >	: (0x0008,0x0100) (0x0008,0x0102) (0x0008,0x0104)	SH Code ValueVR= <sh>VL=&lt;0x0006&gt;&lt;111701&gt;SH Coding Scheme DesignatorVR=<sh>VL=&lt;0x0004&gt;&gt;DCM &gt;LO Code MeaningVR=<lo>VL=&lt;0x0010&gt;<processing type=""></processing></lo></sh></sh>	
>	(0x0040,0xa168)	SQ Concept Code Sequence VR= <sq> VL=&lt;0xfffffffff</sq>	
>	(0x0008,0x0100) (0x0008,0x0102)	SH Code Value VR= <sh> VL=&lt;0x0008&gt; <p3-02000> SH Coding Scheme Designator VR=<sh> VL=&lt;0x0004&gt; <srt></srt></sh></p3-02000></sh>	
>	(0x0008,0x0104)	LO Code Meaning VR= <lo> VL=&lt;0x0014&gt; <specimen collection=""></specimen></lo>	



#### **DICOM Specimen Metadata Attributes**

> (0x0040,0xa168) SQ Concept Code Sequence VL=<0xfffffff> VR = < SO >----: > (0x0008,0x0100) SH Code Value VR=<SH> VL=<0x0008> <P3-00003> > (0x0008,0x0102) SH Coding Scheme Designator VR=<SH> VL=<0x0004> <SRT > > (0x0008.0x0104) L0 Code Meanina VR = <L0 >VL=<0x0008> <Stainina> ----: > (0x0040,0xa040) CS Value Type VR=<CS> VL=<0x0004> <CODE> > (0x0040.0xa043) SQ Concept Name Code Sequence VR = < SO >VL=<0xfffffff> ----: VL=<0x0006> <G-C350> > (0x0008,0x0100) SH Code Value VR=<SH> > (0x0008,0x0102) SH Coding Scheme Designator VL=<0x0004> <SRT >  $VR = \langle SH \rangle$ > (0x0008.0x0104) L0 Code Meaning VR = <L0 >VL=<0x0010> <Using substance > > (0x0040,0xa168) SQ Concept Code Sequence VR=<SQ> VL=<0xfffffff> ----: > (0x0008.0x0100) SH Code Value VR=<SH> VL=<0x0008> <C-22968 > > (0x0008,0x0102) SH Coding Scheme Designator  $VR = \langle SH \rangle$ VL=<0x0004> <SRT > > (0x0008,0x0104) L0 Code Meaning VR = <LO >VL=<0x0012> <hematoxylin stain > ----: > (0x0040,0xa040) CS Value Type VR=<CS> VL=<0x0004> <CODE> > (0x0040,0xa043) SQ Concept Name Code Sequence  $VR = \langle SQ \rangle$ VL=<0xfffffff> ----: > (0x0008.0x0100) SH Code Value VR=<SH> VL=<0x0006> <G-C350> > (0x0008,0x0102) SH Coding Scheme Designator  $VR = \langle SH \rangle$ VL=<0x0004> <SRT > VL=<0x0010> <Using substance > > (0x0008,0x0104) L0 Code Meaning VR = <L0 >VR=<SQ> > (0x0040,0xa168) SQ Concept Code Sequence VL=<0xfffffff> ---: > (0x0008,0x0100) SH Code Value VR=<SH> VL=<0x0008> <C-22919 > > (0x0008,0x0102) SH Coding Scheme Designator VL=<0x0004> <SRT >  $VR = \langle SH \rangle$ > (0x0008,0x0104) L0 Code Meaning VR = <L0 >VL=<0x001a> <water soluble eosin stain >



#### Metadata – Standard Coded Terminology

- Lens, e.g., (445621001, SCT, "High power non-immersion lens")
- Sensor sensitivity, e.g., (414298005, SCT, "Full Spectrum")
- Illumination color, e.g., (415770004, SCT, "Ultraviolet")
- Illumination method, e.g., (111744, DCM, "Brightfield illumination")
- Illumination type, e.g., (445679001, SRT, "Tungsten halogen lamp")
- Filters, e.g., (445465004, SCT, "Green optical filter")
- Use of codes results in consistency between vendors & sites
- Not buried in proprietary metadata, structured or free text, or file name convention
- Primarily SNOMED but can use other specialty-specific schemes



#### **DICOM Slide Metadata Attributes**

(0x0048,0x0001) FL Imaged Volume Width VR=<FL> VL=<0x0004> {18.9371} (0x0048,0x0002) FL Imaged Volume Height VR=<FL> VL=<0x0004> {32.736} (0x0048,0x0003) FL Imaged Volume Depth VR=<FL> VL=<0x0004> {0.6} (0x0048,0x0006) UL Total Pixel Matrix Columns VR=<UL> VL=<0x0004> [0x000006fa] VL=<0x0004> (0x0048,0x0007) UL Total Pixel Matrix Rows VR=<UL> [0x00000571] (0x0048,0x0008) SQ Total Pixel Matrix Origin Sequence VR=<SQ> VL=<0xfffffff ----: > (0x0040,0x072a) DS X Offset in Slide Coordinate System VR=<DS> VL=<0x0002> <25> > (0x0040,0x073a) DS Y Offset in Slide Coordinate System VR=<DS> VL=<0x0002> <50> (0x0048,0x0010) CS Specimen Label in Image VL=<0x0004> <YES > VR=<CS> (0x0048,0x0011) CS Focus Method VL=<0x0004> <AUT0> VR=<CS> (0x0048,0x0012) CS Extended Depth of Field VR=<CS> VL=<0x0002> <N0> (0x0048.0x0102) DS Image Orientation (Slide) VR=<DS> VL=<0x000e> <-1\0\0\0\-1\0 > (0x0048,0x0105) SQ Optical Path Sequence VL=<0xfffffff> VR = < SO >----: > (0x0022,0x0016) SQ Illumination Type Code Sequence VL=<0xfffffff>  $VR = \langle SQ \rangle$ ----: > (0x0008,0x0100) SH Code Value  $VR = \langle SH \rangle$ VL=<0x0006> <111744> > (0x0008.0x0102) SH Coding Scheme Designator VR=<SH> VL=<0x0004> <DCM > > (0x0008,0x0104) L0 Code Meaning VR = <LO >VL=<0x0018> <Brightfield illumination> > (0x0028,0x2000) OB ICC Profile VR=<0B> VL = <0xf9b8 > [0x00, 0x00, 0xf9, 0xb8, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00]0x04,0x30,0x00,0x00,0x73,0x63,0x6e,0x72,0x52,0x47,0x42,0x20,0x4c,0x61,0x62,0x20, 0x07,0xda,0x00,0x0b,0x00,0x12,0x00,0x14,0x00,0x1e,0x00,0x0f,0x61,0x63,0x73,0x70, 0x4d, 0x53, 0x46, 0x54, 0x00, 0x00,0x01,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0xd3,0x2d,0x46,0x58,0x20,0x20,0x55,0xd2,0x77,0xf6, 0x4a,0xcf,0xe4,0x63,0x2b,0x6a,0xfb,0x6d,0x2b,0x8c,0x3c,0xbc,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00, 0x00, 0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x09,0x64,0x65,0x73,0x63, 0x00,0x00,0x00,0xf0,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x5a,0x63,0x70,0x72,0x74,0x00,0x00,0x01,0x4c, 0x00,0x00,0x00,0x68,0x77,0x74,0x70,0x74,0x00,0x00,0x01,0xb4,0x00,0x00,0x00,0x14,



## **DICOM** Pixel Data Encoding

- Needs to be (lossy) compressed
  - to be tractable size to store/transmit
  - user experience suggests modest compression does not affect diagnostic task
- Use an industry standard compression scheme JPEG, JPEG 2000
- Having been lossy compressed on scanner, do not want to recompress
  - causes further unnecessary loss (blurring, artifacts)
  - corollary if lossy compressed with proprietary scheme, want lossless re-encoding
- Base (highest resolution) of slide tissue area at 40x is very big
  - tissue area 25mm x 15mm @ 0.25µm = 100,000 x 60,000 pixels
  - virtual microscopy (pan/zoom) experience requires pre-computed down-sampled layers
- Originally two competing approaches for DICOM encoding
  - store multiple layers of pyramid, chop each layer into tiles, compressing each separately
  - store entire base layer as JPEG 2000, wavelet transform inherently multi-resolution
  - tiled pyramid approach was selected, can still use JPEG 2000 for each tile separately

#### How digital slides are stored in a pyramid structure.



Wang Y, Williamson KE, Kelly PJ, James JA, Hamilton PW (2012) SurfaceSlide: A Multitouch Digital Pathology Platform. PLOS ONE 7(1): e30783. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0030783 http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0030783



## Wavelet Multi-resolution

LL level 2	LH level 2	I H level 1		
HL level 2	HH level 2	LITIEVELL		
HL	level 1	HH level 1		



https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258384778\_Soccer\_Ball\_Detection\_by\_Comparing\_Different\_Feature\_Extraction\_Methodologies/figures https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Jpeg2000\_2-level\_wavelet\_transform-lichtenstein.png



## Tiled Pyramid Approach



DICOM Supplement 145 Aperio, Digital Slides and Third-Party Data Interchange



## **Tiled Pyramid Approach**



#### Garcia-Rojo et al. 2016



## DICOM Whole Slide Images – Tiled Multi-frame Pyramidal Representation





Herrmann MD et al. Implementing the DICOM Standard for Digital Pathology. J Pathol Inform. 2018;9(1):37.



## DICOM – Pathology Workstation



## DICOMweb (WADO-RS) Virtual Microscopy Viewer Transactions





## DICOM Encapsulated Compressed (JPEG, JPEG 2000) Pixel Data







## How JPEG (Baseline) works



# Mathematically Identical Pixels when converting proprietary to DICOM



- IFF scanner already provides tiled JPEG pyramid
  - can be re-encoded in DICOM <u>without changing</u> the compressed coefficients
  - no further lossy color space conversion (no YCbCr or J2K ICT)
  - no further chrominance channel re-sampling
  - no further lossy transformation (DCT or floating point wavelet)
  - could losslessly (reversibly) change entropy coding, but don't need to
  - can mess with marker segments (e.g., insert Quantization and Huffman tables in every frame)
  - can add or remove ICC profile if sent separately (in separate DICOM attribute)
- Use Case: Leica Aperio YCbCr JPEG SVS file (as used for AT2 DX 510(k))
  - several pyramid layers encoded same way that DICOM does, just in BigTIFF format
  - extract each pyramid level and create one DICOM image per pyramid level
  - for each pyramid level, copy JPEG bitstream, inserting Q and H marker segments
  - re-use existing pyramids, so no new interpolated pyramid layers
  - result contains mathematically identical pixels for each source layer
  - can even co-exist in one file dual-personality DICOM-TIFF file



## Dual Personality DICOM-TIFF

- DICOM file format was designed to coexist with a second format
- Bulk data (compressed pixels) shared between both formats
- E.g., a single stored file can be both DICOM and (Big)TIFF
- Mechanism is use of I28 preamble to contain TIFF Image File Directory (IFD) that points to Dataset Trailing Padding after DICOM content, which points back to payload of DICOM Pixel Data element
- Both DICOM and TIFF use sufficiently similar JPEG encoding of pyramidal tiles to make this work for WSI









Mathematically Identical Pixels when converting proprietary to DICOM



# The conversion from tiled pyramidal JPEG proprietary format to DICOM has no effect on the encoded pixel data quality

It is mathematically lossless (reversible)

## But ...



- What if compression scheme is proprietary and/or not tiled?
  - if full frame compression, needs to be decompressed, tiled and recompressed
  - may be able to do this losslessly
    - IFF decompression adds no further loss
    - IFF recompression adds no further loss (but pixel data size may increase, significantly)
- What if more pyramid layers are required?
  - e.g., if only the base (highest resolution) layer is supplied
  - resampling and interpolation create different data in new layers
    - which may appear different from what the scanner vendor originally encoded, e.g., LL bands in a wavelet multiresolution decomposition
- Use case: Philips proprietary iSyntax full image wavelet compression (510(k))
  - can export as DICOM reversible J2K tiled full image (base layer only)
    - used same reversible color transformation
    - used same integer 5,3 wavelet
    - no loss on conversion to DICOM but up to 10x larger pixel data size
  - needs additional pyramid layers to be synthesized, which may differ from original
  - often needs to be converted to JPEG (lossy) or PNG (lossless but big) to display in viewer
  - DICOMweb WADO-RS RetrieveRendered transaction specifies what client accepts, server converts

## Regulatory == Image Quality Issues



- What does the scanner provide (is what was approved/cleared)?
  - ideally would be DICOM when clinicals for submission done
- What changes occur during format conversion for storage (ideally, none)?
  - conversion to DICOM can be lossless for per-frame JPEG and per-frame J2K
  - from full image J2K or proprietary wavelet may be lossless but big
- What changes occur during frame retrieval (ideally none, if any, preferably reversible, if fast enough)?
  - if JPEG, just extract the frame +/- apply server-side color management
  - if J2K and client supports J2K, extract the frame, else convert to lossless PNG
- What changes occur during lossy decompression (ideally imperceptible)?
  - not all decoders behave identically (e.g., difference in JPEG codecs)



## Regulatory == Image Quality Issues

- What changes occur during color management?
  - different ICC profiles from scanner (if any; may just nominate color space e.g., sRGB)
  - color management application is inherently lossy and platforms differ (putting aside viewing environment and human perception issues)
  - DICOMweb supports application of profile by client, by client browser is running in, or by server
  - how to measure?
    - DeltaE? <1.0 JND OK?</p>
    - what actual matters to observer performance?
- What changes occur during other processing for display?
  - interpolation and resampling for intermediate levels of zoom
- What changes occur with display monitor?
- What is the relative contribution of these effects when combined?
- Is interoperability "safe"?
  - clinical validation of safety of quantifiable effects vs. validate all combinations (infeasible)?



## **DICOM & Quality Issues**

- DICOM enables interoperability with same image quality
  - if implemented and deployed correctly
- Same pixels (ideally)
  - as stored and retrieved, can be mathematically proven
  - as displayed, depends on display software behavior (mitigate: pre-stored pyramid layers)
  - demonstrably similar pixels (quantify, test with observer detect difference or clinical performance) if compression change, pyramids different, display software different
- Same colors, IFF:
  - calibrated scanner
  - ICC profiles supplied by scanner vendor
  - applied by server/client combination (various permutations possible)
  - calibrated monitor
  - similar viewing environment
  - one has faith in sufficiency of color management based on ICC
- Use case: Roche ICC Profile

## Color Consistency in WSI DICOM use of ICC Profiles

- Color calibration, normalization
  - vendor calibrates scanner
  - site-specific staining, etc. how this is done is out of scope
- Color consistency (once truth established)
  - ICC profiles generic non-medical industry standard
  - supports calibrated scanners and displays
  - consider choice of ICC method (LUT, TRC, matrix), rendering intent
- DICOM WSI object
  - requires ICC profile (perceptual rendering intent)
- Services for application of ICC profiles
  - DICOMweb apply server or client side, +/- in JPEG
- Applicable to all color imaging, not just pathology





#### With ICC Profile Applied

**No ICC Profile Applied** 



## Overcoming regulatory barriers

- Radiology examples
  - digital mammography 1<sup>st</sup> approved printed to film!
  - then vendor provided displays (monitors)
  - then any 5MP display
  - now any PACS, any (cleared) workstation software, DICOM-based interoperability
  - repeated for digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT)
- Digital pathology
  - one vendor entire pixel pathway
  - another vendor based on predicate
  - now paired scanner + archive/viewer vendors technical data only
  - well on the way to fully open interoperability needs DICOM



## PV 2017 Connectathon



## DICOMWG 26WSI Connectathons Participation to date



	PV'17	PI'18	ECDP'18	PV'18	ECDP'19	PV'19
AidPath	View		Archive, View			
Corista		Analyze, View				View
Gestalt				Archive, View		
Infinitt						Archive
Neagen				Archive, View	Archive, View	Archive, View
PathCore	Archive, View	Archive, View		Archive, View		Archive, View
Sectra		View	View	View		View
3DHistech					Scan	Scan
Hamamatsu		Scan	Scan		Scan	Scan
Huron						Scan
Leica	Scan	Scan		Scan		
Motic				Scan		Scan
Philips	Scan		Scan	Scan	Scan	Scan
Roche Ventana	Scan	Scan	Scan	Scan	Scan	Scan

## DICOM Role in Computational Pathology (AI/ML)



- Annotations
  - input ("hot spots")
  - output from analysis algorithms (per field, all pixels on WSI, overall scores)
  - DICOM Segmentations per pixel classification
  - DICOM Structured Reports outlines, measurements, categories, scores
  - something new in DICOM that scales to millions of nuclei, membranes, etc.
  - DICOM Parametric Maps (e.g., scores, saliency, other "heat maps")
- Critical to make interoperable for
  - gathering of truth for training & test data (e.g., from human pathologists)
  - operational deployment (displayable in any viewer)
  - monitoring of performance in the field (for degradation, lack of generalizability)
  - feedback into updated models (re-training, locked or continuous learning)





Yoon et al. Tumor Identification in Colorectal Histology Images Using a Convolutional Neural Network. J Digit Imaging. 2018 Jul 31;1–10.



Wen et al.A methodology for texture feature-based quality assessment in nucleus segmentation of histopathology image. JPI. 2017.



## Semantic Annotations

- Annotations must be meaningful to an algorithm without the need for human interpretation
  - not just vector graphics or text
  - coded labels coupled with entity, image locations and regions of interest
  - numeric measurements with coded concepts and unit
- I.e., DICOM Structured Reports NOT Presentation States or PDF or SC
  - regions coded as contours (in DICOM SR or RTSS) or as pixels (in DICOM SEG)
- Anti-lesson from radiology
  - radiologists rarely annotate in clinical routine do pathologists?
  - CP (AI/ML) changes the game interoperable semantic annotations now valuable

# Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine

## Summary

- What is DICOM?
- Why DICOM? Interoperability
- DICOM for WSI in detail
- Regulatory implications of interoperability
- Which aspects of DICOM can assuage regulatory concerns?
- Use cases for mathematically identical pixels in DICOM vs. proprietary
- DICOM solutions for proprietary compression sources
- Color management
- Computational Pathology (AI/ML) and DICOM
- Regulatory issues of AI/ML annotations for truthing